

[Click for more details](#)

3rd May 2020

To: Horsham District Council,  
Department of Planning & Economic Development & Property,  
For the attention of Kate Turner - Case Officer.

Dear Ms Turner,

Re: Planning Application DC/20/0576.

Horsham Society have taken the opportunity to review Planning Application DC/20/0576, and we consider the application to be somewhat misleading as the application refers to 9 Norfolk Road. Whilst the existing property which is proposed for conversion is 9 Norfolk Road, the demolition and new build element of the project is situated in Chichester Terrace. However, notwithstanding the foregoing, we wish to lodge an objection to the proposals for the following reasons:-

- 1) The proposals require clarification - see (s.5) of the Application Form, which does not relate to the submitted drawings, and does not concur with (s.16).
- 2) Whilst the proposals include demolition of a somewhat nondescript building, the proposed design of the new build does not relate or connect with the rich Victorian heritage that surrounds the site. The proposed windows and door styles are a generic cottage style, and not Victorian, which could easily be matched. We consider this application, being situated within Norfolk Road and Chichester Terrace, forms a part of an area proposed by Horsham Society as a Conservation Area, and that the application should be considered accordingly.
- 3) Details of materials in the Application Form indicate 'plain clay' tiles to match those of existing surrounding dwellings. We would point out that the adjacent building has slate tiles and that the building opposite has clay tiles, which are incongruous and should not be replicated.
- 4) We consider parking to be an issue. At present there is no provision for parking. However, the proposed scheme indicates 3 parking spaces, although there is no access from the highway indicated or a crossover, which would be required. Furthermore there is no provision detailed for cycle storage.
- 5) The adjacent owner reports that there has been no contact between him and the developer, even though a Party Wall Agreement would be required and that there is a shared boundary.

It is for the above reasons that Horsham Society wish to lodge an objection to Planning Application DC/20/0576.

Yours faithfully,  
David Griffiths. (secretary to Horsham Society Planning Sub Committee)